ż

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Favorable reconsideration of this application in light of the following discussion is respectfully requested.

Claims 6-8 are presently pending in this case.

In the outstanding Official Action, Claims 6-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102(b) as anticipated by <u>Tay et al.</u> (U.S. Patent No. 6,281,851, hereinafter "<u>Tay</u>").

Applicants and Applicants' representatives thank Examiner Sams for the courtesy of the interview granted to Applicants' representatives on June 21, 2007. During the interview, differences between the claims and <u>Tay</u> were discussed. Examiner Sams agreed that the pending claims appear to overcome the rejection of record.

With regard to the rejection of Claim 1 as anticipated by <u>Tay</u>, that rejection is respectfully traversed.

Claim 6 recites in part:

a conductor board including at least a circuit board; a box-shaped shield member having an electrical length about half that of said conductor board, and having an opening at a top thereof to receive said conductor board therein so that said box-shaped shield member has an inside surface that faces a surface of said conductor board and a bottom of said conductor board is electrically connected to a bottom of said box-shaped shield member; and

a feeding mechanism configured to supply power to around a center of said conductor and at the top of said boxshaped shield member.

Based on the above mentioned interview, the outstanding Office Action intended to cite wall 19 of <u>Tay</u> as "a feeding mechanism" as recited in Claim 6. However, it is respectfully noted that wall 19 of <u>Tay</u> does not include a conducting material. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that there is no connection in <u>Tay</u> to around a center of said conductor and at the top of said box-shaped shield member. Thus, it is respectfully submitted that <u>Tay</u>

2

See Tay, Figure 2.

Application No. 10/772,379 Reply to Office Action of April 23, 2007

does not teach "a feeding mechanism" as defined in Claim 6. Consequently, Claim 6 (and

Claims 7 and 8 dependent therefrom) is not anticipated by Tay and is patentable thereover.

Accordingly, the pending claims are believed to be in condition for formal allowance.

An early and favorable action to that effect is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Customer Number 22850

Tel: (703) 413-3000 Fax: (703) 413 -2220 (OSMMN 06/04) Attorney of Record Registration No. 40,073

Bradley D. Lytle

Edward W. Tracy, Jr. Registration No. 47,998

I:\ATTY\ET\248508US\248508US-AMD7.23.07.DOC



Docket No.: 248508US6X

COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS ALEXANDRIA, VIRGINIA 22313

RE: Application Serial No.: 10/772,379

Applicants: Osamu KOZAKAI, et al.

Filing Date: February 6, 2004

For: A PORTABLE WIRELESS APPARATUS

Group Art Unit: 2617 Examiner: SAMS, M.

SIR:

Attached hereto for filing are the following papers:

## Request for Reconsideration Request for Extension of Time Under 37 C.F.R. 1.136

Our credit card payment form in the amount of \$120.00 is attached covering any required fees. In the event any variance exists between the amount enclosed and the Patent Office charges for filing the above-noted documents, including any fees required under 37 C.F.R 1.136 for any necessary Extension of Time to make the filing of the attached documents timely, please charge or credit the difference to our Deposit Account No. 15-0030. Further, if these papers are not considered timely filed, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. 1.136 for the necessary extension of time. A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted.

OBLON, SPIVAK, McCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C.

Devard Than

Bradley D. Lytle

Registration No. 40,073

Customer Number

22850 (703) 413-3000 (phone) (703) 413-2220 (fax)

Edward W. Tracy, Jr. Registration No. 47,998 McClelland MATER NEUSTADT

OBLON SPIVAK

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

BRADIEY DI YTLE (703) 412-6489 BLYTLE@OBLON.COM

EDWARD W. TRACY, JR. SENIOR ASSOCIATE (703) 413-2779 ETRACY@OBLON.COM